International application No.
PCT/JP2004/016461

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> F01D5/14, 5/30, 9/02				
	mational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classi	fication and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEA	RCHED	stion gymbols)		
Minimum docum Int.Cl <sup>7</sup>	entation searched (classification system followed by classification properties of the followed by classification system followed by classifica	ation symbols)		
Jitsuyo Kokai Ji	tsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2004 Toroku	Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1994-2004	
Electronic data ba	se consulted during the international search (name of data ba	ise and, where practicable, search ter	rms used)	
C. DOCUMEN	TS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropri	ate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
X Y	JP 62-3847 A (MAN Gutehoffnungs) 09 January, 1987 (09.01.87), Page 4, upper left column, line right column, line 8; Figs. 1 to & DE 3514122 A1 & EP 199 & US 4704066 A1	nütte GmbH), 7 to upper 3	1-4,6,8-9 10-15	
X Y	JP 5-44691 A (Mitsubishi Heavy Ltd.), 23 February, 1993 (23.02.93), Full text; Figs. 1 to 6, 8 (Family: none)	Industries,	1-4,6,8-9 10-15	
X Y	<pre>JP 55-142909 A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 07 November, 1980 (07.11.80), Full text; Figs. 1 to 8 (Family: none)</pre>		1-3,6,8-9 10-15	
		1 0		
_				
"A" document of to be of par "E" earlier apple filing date	gories of cited documents:  efining the general state of the art which is not considered considered dicular relevance  cation or patent but published on or after the international  "X"  which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	date and not in conflict with the applic the principle or theory underlying the document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consi- step when the document is taken alone	ation but cited to understand invention claimed invention cannot be dered to involve an inventive	
cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means combined being		considered to involve an inventive combined with one or more other such being obvious to a person skilled in th	step when the document is a documents, such combination be art	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 24 January, 2005 (24.01.05)		te of mailing of the international sea 15 February, 2005	arch report (15.02.05)	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		thorized officer		
Facsimile No.  Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)				

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/016461

C (Continuation)	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 149742/1980 (Laid-open No. 73304/1982) (Tokyo Shibaura Electric Co., Ltd.), 06 May, 1982 (06.05.82), Figs. 5 to 6 (Family: none)	10
Y .	Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 156772/1986(Laid-open No. 63503/1988) (Hitachi, Ltd.), 26 April, 1988 (26.04.88), Figs. 1, 3(b) (Family: none)	11
Y	JP 61-252838 A (MTU MOTOREN-UND TURBINEN-UNION MÜNCHEN GMBH), 10 November, 1986 (10.11.86), Fig. 1 & DE 3514354 Al & EP 203353 Al & US 4709546 Al	10-14
Y	JP 1-237305 A (Toshiba Corp.), 21 September, 1989 (21.09.89), Figs. 5 to 6, 9 (Family: none)	15

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/016461

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.:   because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: 5 and 7 because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
See extra sheet.
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  The invention of claim 1 is a well-known technique, and the matter common to claims 1-15 is not a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence.
Accordingly, the inventions of claims 1-2, the inventions of claims 3-7, the invention of claim 8, the inventions of claims 9-14, and the invention of claim 15 are different invention groups.
1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.
140 protest accompanies and paymont of accompanies seemen 1988.

International application No. PCT/JP2004/016461

Continuation of Box No. II-2 of continuation of first sheet (2)

That "the distance Ho from the wall surface in the height direction of the front edge is set to a range of Ho = (0.5 to 2.0) T with T being the thickness of a boundary layer of a working fluid," which is described in claim 5, means that the height is set by the thickness T of a boundary layer that varies according to the operating condition of a turbine. The above is technically unsure, and therefore it lacks the clarity that PCT Article 6 requires.

That "when allocated to an angle  $\theta$  with a stagnation point of a working fluid that collides a front edge of a blade body set as the standard, the angle  $\theta$  is set to a range of  $\theta=\pm15^\circ$  to  $\pm60^\circ$ ," which is described in claim 7, means that the starting point of reckoning of an angle is set by a stagnation point of a working fluid, the stagnation point varying according to the operating condition of a turbine. Even if the starting point is determined, only the starting point cannot determine the standard line for reckoning the angle, and the range of a fan-like angle is undefined. Therefore, the above lacks the clarity that PCT Article 6 requires.